Course: INTROTONUMERICALANALYSIS001C1177: MATH5315-001C-1177-MATH5315 Sect 001C 1177: Daniel Reynolds

Instructor: Daniel Reynolds *

1 - Please Answer Ead	ch of the Fo	llowing													
The syllabus clearly e	he syllabus clearly explained the goals for learning, grading policy, and the schedule.														
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percent	Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree			(4)	9	81.82%					3.82	3.64		3.61		
Agree			(3)	2	18.18%										
Disagree			(2)	0	0%										
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0%										
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%										
						0	25 5	50 75	100	Instructor	Departmen	ıt	Dedman		
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Depart	ment	Mean	STD	Median		Dedman	Mean	STD	Median		
11/17 (64.71%)	3.82	0.40	4.00	1,25	57	3.64	0.59	4.00		11,602	3.61	0.64	4.00		

1 - Please Answer Each of the Following

Class time was well-o	rganized.												
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percent F	Responses			Means		
Strongly Agree			(4)	9	81.82%					3.82	3.53	1	3.49
Agree			(3)	2	18.18%								
Disagree			(2)	0	0%								
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0%								
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%								
						0 :	25 5	0 75	100	Instructor	Departmen	nt	Dedman
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Depart	ment	Mean	STD	Median		Dedman	Mean	STE	Median
11/17 (64.71%)	3.82	0.40	4.00	1,2	56	3.53	0.69	4.00		11,579	3.49	0.74	4.00

1 - Please Answer Ead	ch of the Fo	llowing											
Course materials sup	ported my l	earning o	f the cour	se content.									
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percent R	esponses			Means		
Strongly Agree			(4)	7	63.64%					3.55	3.51		3.50
Agree			(3)	3	27.27%								
Disagree			(2)	1	9.09%								
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0%								
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%								
					0	25 50	75	100	Instructor	Departmer	nt	Dedman	
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Depart	ment	Mean	STD	Median		Dedman	Mean	STD	Median
11/17 (64.71%)	3.55	0.69	4.00	1,24	43	3.51	0.70	4.00		11,503	3.50	0.72	4.00

1 - Please Answer Ead	ch of the Fo	llowing													
Examples and/or part	xamples and/or particular readings used during class time helped me understand the course content.														
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percent	Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree			(4)	7	63.64%					3.55	3.45		3.49		
Agree			(3)	3	27.27%										
Disagree			(2)	1	9.09%										
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0%										
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%										
						0	25 5	0 75	100	Instructor	Departmer	nt	Dedman		
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Depart	ment	Mean	STD	Median		Dedman	Mean	STD	Median		
11/17 (64.71%)	3.55	0.69	4.00	1,2	54	3.45	0.77	4.00		11,549	3.49	0.74	4.00		

Course: INTROTONUMERICALANALYSIS001C1177: MATH5315-001C-1177-MATH5315 Sect 001C 1177: Daniel Reynolds

Instructor: Daniel Reynolds *

1 - Please Answer Ead	ch of the Fo	llowing													
Assignments includin	ssignments including readings, videos, and problem sets, helped clarify my understanding of the course content.														
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percent I	Responses			Means				
Strongly Agree			(4)	6	54.55%					3.55	3.48		3.46		
Agree			(3)	5	45.45%										
Disagree			(2)	0	0%										
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0%										
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%										
						0	25 5	0 75	100	Instructor	Departmen	ıt	Dedman		
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Depart	ment	Mean	STD	Median		Dedman	Mean	STD	Median		
11/17 (64.71%)	3.55	0.52	4.00	1,25	55	3.48	0.73	4.00		11,562	3.46	0.74	4.00		

1 - Please Answer Each of the Following

Feedback on assignm	ents impro	ved my u	nderstand	ing of the cou	urse conten	ıt.							
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percent F	Responses			Means		
Strongly Agree			(4)	8	72.73%					3.73	3.34		3.33
Agree			(3)	3	27.27%								
Disagree			(2)	0	0%								
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0%								
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%								
						0 :	25 50) 75	100	Instructor	Departmen	ıt	Dedman
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Depart	ment	Mean	STD	Median		Dedman	Mean	STD	Median
11/17 (64.71%)	3.73	0.47	4.00	1,2	56	3.34	0.84	4.00		11,572	3.33	0.87	4.00

1 - Please Answer Each of the Following My performance in the class was clearly communicated to me throughout the semester. Percent Responses Means **Response Option** Weight Frequency Percent 30% Strongly Agree 3 (4) 3.45 3.37 3.10 Agree (3) 5 50% Disagree (2) 2 20% Strongly Disagree (1) 0 0% 0% Not Applicable (0) 0 50 75 100 25 Instructor Department Dedman 0 Return Rate Mean STD Median Department Mean STD Median Dedman Mean STD Median 10/17 (58.82%) 3.10 0.74 3.00 1,250 3.45 0.77 4.00 11,554 3.37 0.84 4.00

1 - Please Answer Ead	ch of the Fo	llowing													
My interest in the sub	Ay interest in the subject increased as a result of taking this course.														
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percent R	esponses			Means				
Strongly Agree			(4)	6	54.55%					3.55	3 12		3.21		
Agree			(3)	5	45.45%						5.12				
Disagree			(2)	0	0%										
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0%										
Not Applicable			(0)	0	0%										
						0	25 50	75	100	Instructor	Departmen	t	Dedman		
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Depart	ment	Mean	STD	Median		Dedman	Mean	STD	Median		
11/17 (64.71%)	3.55	0.52	4.00	1,2	51	3.12	0.98	3.00		11,550	3.21	0.95	4.00		

Course: INTROTONUMERICALANALYSIS001C1177: MATH5315-001C-1177-MATH5315 Sect 001C 1177: Daniel Reynolds

Instructor: Daniel Reynolds *

If the class had a discussion component, to instruction of the class had a discussion component, to instruction of the class had a discussion component, to instruction of the class had a discussion component, to instruction of the class had a discussion component, to instruction of the class had a discussion component, to instruction of the class had a discussion component, to instruction of the class had a discussion component, to instruction of the class had a discussion component, to instruction of the class had a discussion component, the class had a discussion of the class	1 - Please Answer Each of the	Following	llowing													
Response OptionWeightFrequencyPercentPercent ResponsesMeansStrongly Agree(4)440%3.673.443.50Agree(3)220%1111Disagree(2)00%1111Strongly Disagree(1)00%1111Not Applicable(0)440%1111	If the class had a discussion of	the class had a discussion component, the instructor encouraged widespread involvement, kept focus, and limited extraneous comments.														
Strongly Agree (4) 4 40% Agree (3) 2 20% Disagree (2) 0 0% Strongly Disagree (1) 0 0% Not Applicable (0) 4 40%	Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percer	nt Re	sponses			Means			
Agree (3) 2 20% Disagree (2) 0 0% Strongly Disagree (1) 0 0% Not Applicable (0) 4 40%	Strongly Agree			(4)	4	40%						3.67	3.44		3.5	50
Disagree (2) 0 0% Strongly Disagree (1) 0 0% Not Applicable (0) 4 40%	Agree			(3)	2	20%										
Strongly Disagree (1) 0 0% Not Applicable (0) 4 40%	Disagree			(2)	0	0%										
Not Applicable (0) 4 40% 1 <th1< th=""> 1 <th1< th=""></th1<></th1<>	Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0%										
	Not Applicable			(0)	4	40%										
0 25 50 75 100 Instructor Department Dedman							0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	Departmen	t	Ded	lman
Return Rate Mean STD Median Department Mean STD Median Dedman Mean STD Media	Return Rate Mean	STD	STD	Median	Depart	ment	Mean	STE)	Median		Dedman	Mean	ST	DN	Median
10/17 (58.82%) 3.67 0.52 4.00 1,239 3.44 0.78 4.00 11,477 3.50 0.76 4.00	10/17 (58.82%) 3.67	0.52	0.52	4.00	1,23	39	3.44	0.78	8	4.00		11,477	3.50	0.7	6	4.00

2 - The instructor was available to answer questions outside of class.

Daniel Reynolds													
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percent	Responses			Means		
Strongly Agree			(4)	9	81.82%					3.82	3.61		3.56
Agree			(3)	2	18.18%								
Disagree			(2)	0	0%								
Strongly Disagree			(1)	0	0%								
					0	25	50 75	100	Instructor	Departmen	ıt	Dedman	
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Depart	ment	Mean	STD	Median		Dedman	Mean	STD	Median
11/17 (64.71%)	3.82	0.40	4.00	1,24	42	3.61 0.56 4.00			11,782	3.56	0.63	4.00	

2 How mon		المالم بالمميد بير						4:ma - 7
່ ວ - ⊓ow many	nours pe	er week ala	you spena c	m this	course	outside	or class	umer

•														
Response Option			Weight	Frequency	Percent		Percent	Respon	ses			Means		
0-3 Hours			(1)	0	0%									
3-6 Hours			(2)	3	27.27%						3.09			
6-9 Hours			(3)	4	36.36%							2.16	1	2.11
9-12 Hours			(4)	4	36.36%									
12 or More Hours			(5)	0	0%									
						0	25	50	75	100	Instructor	Departmer	nt	Dedman
Return Rate	Mean	STD	Median	Depart	ment	Mean	STD	Medi	an		Dedman	Mean	STD	Median
11/17 (64.71%)	3.09	0.83	3.00	1,2	51	2.16	0.94	2.0	0		11,521	2.11	0.98	2.00

4 - Did any particular aspects of this course enhance your learning?

Programming assignments helped to cement concepts.

The homework assignments were very helpful

· HW's were good.

· Peer Reviews really helped understand.

Organized lectures.

• The homework was great for application of the concept. Professor was very engaging. I really enjoyed this class, which I can't really say for most of the math classes that I've taken.

• Having the lecture notes posted was wonderful, as well as past solutions - for the solutions especially, it was great to see how I sometimes thought through a problem in a different way, and so after looking at solutions solved with another technique I had a much better understanding of the problem overall.

• The class was very well run. Having the lecture notes available is great. The exam format is the least painful format it could be. The pace of the class was good.

Course: INTROTONUMERICALANALYSIS001C1177: MATH5315-001C-1177-MATH5315 Sect 001C 1177: Daniel Reynolds

Instructor: Daniel Reynolds *

5 - Did any particular aspects of this course detract from your learning?

• I would say that I found the reading quizzes very frustrating. I understand that the point was to get students to read the text before class, but without lecture to explain how to solve problems correctly, I would often get the quiz questions wrong and feel like I had missed the point of the reading. Maybe asking questions about a concept that is more of a topical question rather than applying something to an actual numerical problem would remedy this and ease some of the frustration I and other students felt in regard to the quizzes.

• I had a hard time finding example problems to work through with solutions. It made it more difficult to study for exams. Maybe if you posted suggested problems from the book it would help making additional materials to study with.

• Tests could have been aligned towards what we did in the HW. Tests were good in that they tested overall knowledge of the material down to even the small bits but more of a crossover and relation to the HW's would have been nice both for studying/learning purposes and in general as a stress management as in not having to freak out when studying because youre not sure what to try to comprehend and what to leave out.

• The textbook can be hard to understand.

• i would always forget the quizzes, and then i would be a bit distracted that i have already messed up before i even entered the class

• n/a

· The book was a difficult read. Would have been useful if the practice problems had a key.

• N/a.

• Perhaps class size. Feedback on material could have been given back faster if there was less work to do overall on the professor's part per assignment. This is particularly important in the earlier part of the semester where the students needed feedback on proof writing before a second assignment was turned in.